

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 02/12/2002 Bernd-Georg Pietras WEAT/0214 10/074,947 8893 EXAMINER 36735 7590 12/11/2003 MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P. SMITH, JAMES G 3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER HOUSTON, TX 77056-6582 3723 DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | |
|---|------------------------|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 10/074,947 | PIETRAS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| TI MAN INO DATE SENS | James G. Smith | 3723 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| | s action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,24 and 25</u> is/are pending in the | annlication | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24 and 25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,12,16,17 and 19-22</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,9-11,13-15 and 18</u> is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4- | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/074,947

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the Group I invention in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 24 and 25 are to a method of using the device of Group I. This is not found persuasive because the device of Group I can be used to apply a rotation to any object and not just for the method of assembling pipe strings.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 24 and 25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claim as well as the specification refer to a "spherical bearing", however there is no such structure shown in the drawings, thus it is ambiguous as to what element the term applies. The bearing (20) is clearly shown as a semicircular projection, not a spherical one, thus the use of the term "spherical" is inaccurate.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/074,947

Art Unit: 3723

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13, from which claim 17 depends, already claims the first tong as having a plurality of clamping jaws, to therefore claim driving members in claim 17 would be twice claiming the same element.

Also, there is no antecedent for "the jaw" and "the dies".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-7, 12 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Diefendorf which shows two clamping "tongs" (3-6 and 14-20) with a pinion on the first "tong" (3-6) that engages teeth (14) on the second "tong" (14-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 8 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diefendorf in view of any of Smith or Jurgens.

Diefendorf shows the claimed invention except for the use of a motor to drive the gear pinion. Either Smith or Jurgens suggests that a pipe section securing device can have one tong that is gear driven by means of a motor instead of by hand. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Diefendorf by using a motor to drive the pinion gear <u>because</u> any of Smith or Jurgens suggests the use of such a motor in the same type of device.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 2, 9-11, 13-15 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Slator is cited as showing the use of biased jaws or dies.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723